IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2425 of 1986

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL
and
Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

RAJENDRA RATILAL SHAH

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 2425 of 1986
MR VIMAL PATEL FOR M/s. NANAVATI ASSOCIATES
for Petitioners
MR AJ DESAI AGP for Respondent No. 1
NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 2
MR KN RAVAL for Respondent No. 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL
and
MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

Date of decision: 16/04/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

(Per : MR.JUSTICE J.M.PANCHAL)

1. Mr. Vimal Patel, learned advocate appearing on behalf of M/s. Nanavati Associates, learned advocate of the petitioners, has produced letter addressed by Mr. R.G. Parikh, who is petitioner No.3, to M/s. Nanavati Associates, wherein it is stated that since the Mill Company has already been closed, the aforesaid matter has become infructuous and should be withdrawn. The said letter is taken on record of the petition.

2. In view of the contents of the said letter, Mr. Vimal Patel, learned advocate of the petitioners, prays to permit the petitioners to withdraw the petition. The prayer is not opposed by Mr. A.J. Desai, learned AGP who appears on behalf of the respondent No.1.

3. Under the circumstances, prayer to withdraw the petition is granted. Rule is discharged. There shall be no orders as to cost. The petition stands disposed of as withdrawn. Interim relief, if any, shall stand vacated.

(J.M. Panchal, J.)

(A.M. Kapadia, J.)

(karan)